

Planning Team Report

Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014 - Section 73A

Proposal Title:

Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014 - Section 73A

Proposal Summary:

This s73A submission seeks to amend the Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014, to make

minor mapping corrections.

PP Number

PP_2014_PITTW_001_00

Dop File No

11/20733

Proposal Details

Date Planning

10-Jun-2014

LGA covered :

Pittwater

Proposal Received:

Metro(CBD)

RPA:

Pittwater Council

State Electorate:

PITTWATER

Section of the Act

73A - Minor Matter

LEP Type:

Region:

73A

Location Details

Street:

Suburb :

City:

Postcode:

Land Parcel:

various

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name:

Lee McCourt

Contact Number:

0285754110

Contact Email:

lee.mccourt@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :

Kelly Wilkinson

Contact Number :

0299701283

Contact Email:

Kelly_Wilkinson@pittwater.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email:

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :

Release Area Name :

Regional / Sub

Consistent with Strategy

Regional Strategy:

MDP Number:

Date of Release:

Area of Release

Type of Release (eg

(Ha):

Residential / Employment land):

No. of Lots :

0

No. of Dwellings

0

0

Gross Floor Area:

0

(where relevant):

No of Jobs Created:

U

The NSW Government Yes

Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with:

If No, comment :

Have there been

No

meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?:

If Yes, comment :

Supporting notes

Internal Supporting Notes :

The Department prepared the LEP maps, however the issues were not identified until after the LEP was made. The LEP is a translation to a Standard Instrument LEP. The mapping corrections are minor and need to be amended to reinstate mapping labels as exhibited and for consistency with planning proposal.

External Supporting

Notes:

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment:

The statement of objectives adequately describes the intention of the Planning Proposal to make minor mapping corrections to the Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment:

The explanation of provisions adequately addresses the intended changes to Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014.

An amendment to the Plan is required to:

1) reinstate the creek line corridor on the Urban Release Area Map (Sheet 12, 13, 18, 19);

2) reinstate all labels associated with sector or buffers on the Urban Release Area Map (Sheet 12, 13, 18, 19);

3) amend the Land Reservation Acquisition Map (Sheet 12) to reflect land to be acquired by Council as local open space (E2);

4) amend the Lot Size Map (Sheet 12) to reflect the planning proposal;

5) remove reference to 'SP3 Tourism' and replace with 'SP3 Tourist'on the Land Zoning Map (Sheet 19); and

6) remove reference to 'SP3 Tourism' and replace with 'SP3 Tourist' in the map legend for all Land Zoning Maps.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA:

2.1 Environment Protection Zones

* May need the Director General's agreement

3.1 Residential Zones

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006: Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

N/A

e) List any other matters that need to be considered:

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A

If No, explain:

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment:

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? No

Comment:

As the proposal is to correct minor drafting errors, and to re-instate a number of labels and controls that were previously exhibited in draft Pittwater LEP and Amendment 1,

community consultation is considered unnecessary.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons:

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment:

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date: May 2014

Comments in relation to Principal

The Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014 was notified on 30 May and commenced on 27

June 2014.

LEP:

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning

This amendment is a mechanism to correct minor mapping issues.

proposal:

Consistency with strategic planning framework:

Due to its minor administrative nature there are no implications for the strategic planning

framework.

Environmental social economic impacts :

Environmental, social and economic impacts are minimal. The amendment will ensure the

instrument functions as envisioned.

Assessment Process

Proposal type

Minor

Community Consultation

Nil

Period:

Timeframe to make

3 months

Delegation:

DG

LEP:

Public Authority

Other

Consultation - 56(2)

(d):

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?

No

(2)(a) Should the matter proceed?

Yes

If no, provide reasons:

Resubmission - s56(2)(b): No

If Yes, reasons:

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons

Identify any internal consultations, if required:

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons:

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Section 73A EPA Act submission - Pittwater Council - 6 June 2014.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Section 73A Submission - Pittwater Council - 18 June 2014.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Section 73A EPA Act submission - Pittwater Council - 23 June 2014.pdf	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage: Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:

2.1 Environment Protection Zones

3.1 Residential Zones

Additional Information :

- 1. Community consultation is not required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act).
- 2. Consultation is not required with any public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act.
- 3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
- 4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 3 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.

Supporting Reasons:

This planning proposal seeks to urgently rectify a minor mapping issues so that the instrument functions as intended and the overall planning objectives can be delivered.

Signature:	12:14	
Printed Name:	Tim ARCHEN Date:	22.8.14